application:	claimed, I have identified al	l foreign patent appl	ications filed prior to this				
Prior Foreign Ap Number		untry	Date				
substitution and revocati States Patent and Traden	owing attorney, D. Andrew ion, to prosecute this application ark Office connected there at to this application be direct	ation and to transact with and request that	all business in the United				
	D. Andre	w Floam					
Cognio, Inc.							
101 Orchard Ridge Drive, Suite 350							
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878							
statements made on info were made with the know fine or imprisonment, or	that all statements made he rmation and belief are belie wledge that willful false state both, under Section 1001 of may jeopardize the validity of the state of	ved to be true; and for tements and the like of Title 18 of the Unit	urther that these statements so made are punishable by ted States Code and that such				
Full name of first invent	or <u>David S. K</u>	loper					
Inventor's signature Residence Citizenship Post Office Address	Mt. Airy, Maryland USA 1012 Leafy Hollow Mt. Airy, Maryland	Date	1/4/04				
Full name of second inventor's signature	MilDi	Date	1/9/04				
Residence	Rockville, Marylan	<u>a                                    </u>	-				

USA

10 Watchwater Way

Rockville, Maryland 20850

Citizenship

Post Office Address

## **DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

## "MI

"MITIGATING		ERENCE WITH FI ING FUTURE HO		HOPPING SIGNALS BY CIES"
	Docket	No., <u>Cognio76US</u> the	specification of	`which
(check one)		is attached hereto was filed on Application Serial No and was amended on	•	
I hereby state specification, includin				s of the above identified rred to above.
known to me to be ma of Federal Regulations  I do not know of America before my any country before my same was not in public application, and I belie	and do not or our involves or our involves or one one one one or one eve that the	t believe this invention ention thereof, or pate vention thereof or more sale in the United State invention has not bee	application in ac n was ever know nted or describe e than one year p tes of America r en patented or m	Office all information which is cordance with Title 37, Code on or used in the United States d in any printed publication in prior to this application, that the more than one year prior to this ade the subject of an inventor's ign to the United States of
America on an applica	tion filed by plication, and in any co	by me or my legal represent that no application ountry foreign to the U	resentatives or as n for patent or in United States of A	ssigns more than twelve eventor's certificate on this America prior to this
I/We hereby c foreign application for listed application on w Prior Foreign	patent or in	inventor's certificate hity is claims:	der Title 35, Uni aving a filing da	tted States Code, §119 of any atte before that of the above
Number		Coun	try	Date

<sup>(</sup>b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

<sup>(1)</sup> It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a primafacie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

<sup>(2)</sup> It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the application takes in:

<sup>(</sup>i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

<sup>(</sup>ii) asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden of proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.